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Today’s Plan

- Discussion of creating experimental framework
Designing Experiments

- Consider using framework similar to that in related work
  - Leveraging existing tools and applications enables research to progress more quickly than creating tools from scratch
    - Remember discussion of benchmarks in Tichy’s “Should Computer Scientists Experiment More?”
  - By using tools and inputs that other researchers have used, you
    - can more easily compare and contrast your results to related work that used the same tools
    - don’t have to do as much validation of the correctness or as much characterization of the tools / inputs to convince readers whether they are appropriate for this research or to educate them about their properties

- Combine and modify existing tools and inputs as appropriate for your specific research question
  - Take inspiration from approaches used by other researchers to create their experiments
Activity: Examine Methodology in Related Work

- We previously identified related work that seemed most relevant to “Fine-Grained Recognition in the Wild”
- Citation [49] seemed very relevant to the domain adaptation component of “Fine-Grained Recognition”
- Examine the experimental framework in [49]. Look for similarities and differences between that work and “Fine-Grained Recognition in the Wild”’s experimental setup
  - What tools and settings for those tools do they use?
  - What inputs or datasets do they use?
  - What metrics do they use to evaluate goodness?
  - What specific experiments do they run?
Activity: Examine Methodology in Related Work

- We previously identified related work that seemed most relevant to “Fine-Grained Recognition in the Wild”
- Citation [14] seemed very relevant to the consistency component of “Fine-Grained Recognition”
- Examine the experimental framework in [14]. Look for similarities and differences between that work and “Fine-Grained Recognition in the Wild”’s experimental setup
  - What datasets do they use in their experiments?
  - Are there similarities in how they construct their datasets?
Activity: Examine Usage of a Tool

- We previously identified related work that seemed most relevant to “Fine-Grained Recognition in the Wild”
- Citation [36] was cited with respect to creation of their experimental infrastructure.
- Do a Google Scholar search on paper [36]. What does the citation count for [36] tell you about its usage for research experiments?