Model 1: Minimum-weight Spanning Subgraphs (12 mins)

**Definition 1.** Given an undirected graph \( G = (V, E) \), a spanning subgraph of \( G \) is a connected subgraph \( G' = (V', E') \) of \( G \); that is, a connected graph with the same vertices as \( G \) and a subset of its edges.

**Definition 2.** Given a weighted, undirected graph \( G \), the minimum-weight spanning subgraph (MWSS) of \( G \) is the spanning subgraph of \( G \) whose total weight (i.e. the sum of the weights of all its edges) is as small as possible.

1. Draw any spanning subgraph of the example graph from Model 1.

2. Can a graph \( G \) have more than one spanning subgraph?

3. Is it possible for a graph \( G \) to be a spanning subgraph of itself? Why or why not?

4. Is it possible for a disconnected graph to have a spanning subgraph? Why or why not?
5 Can a graph $G$ have more than one minimum-weight spanning subgraph? Give an example, or explain why it is not possible.

6 Find a MWSS for the graph in Model 1. Does it have more than one?

7 Which of the following scenarios could be modeled by finding a MWSS?

(a) A railroad company wants to connect a given set of cities by train routes as cheaply as possible. Connecting two cities by a route costs an amount of money proportional to the distance between them.

(b) A company wants to network a set of data centers with high-speed fiber optic connections, and they want to minimize the total amount of fiber optic cable used. There must be at least two routes between any pair of data centers, so that any one fiber optic link going down will not disconnect the network.

(c) Given a network of train routes between a collection of cities and the cost of each route, find the cheapest route between two given cities.

(d) You have the latest Megazorx puzzle toy, which can be in any one of a number of different states. There are various moves which can transform the Megazorx from one state to another. In order to impress your friends, you want to be able to transform it from any starting state into any other requested state (which may in general require a whole sequence of moves). You want to be able to do this

(i) ... using the fewest number of moves possible for each given pair of start and end states.

(ii) ... without having to memorize any more moves than absolutely necessary.
8 (Review) What is the definition of a tree graph?

9 Prove: in a weighted, undirected graph with positive weights, a MWSS must always be a tree. Hint: use a proof by contradiction.
Because of the result from Question 9, we typically refer to a minimum-weight spanning subgraph as a *minimum spanning tree* (MST). Now that you understand the definition of a MST and some scenarios that MSTs can be used to model, let’s explore some algorithms for finding them.

**Model 2: Four algorithms (13 mins)**

Given a weighted, undirected graph $G$, the goal of each algorithm is to pick a subset of the edges of $G$ which constitute a MST.

- **(Kruskal’s)** Consider all the edges in order from smallest to biggest weight; for each edge, pick it if and only if it does not complete a cycle with previously chosen edges.

- **(Prim’s)** Choose an arbitrary vertex to start and mark it as visited. At each step, pick the smallest edge which connects any visited vertex to any unvisited vertex and which would not complete a cycle with previously chosen edges; mark the other end of the edge visited.

- **(Johnson’s)** Choose any vertex to start. At each step, pick the smallest edge connected to the current vertex which has not already been chosen and would not complete a cycle with previously chosen edges. Repeat until running out of options; then pick a new starting vertex and repeat the entire process. Do this until all vertices are connected.

- **(Reverse delete)** Start with all edges initially “picked”, and consider them in order from biggest to smallest weight. For each edge, throw it out (i.e. “unpick” it) if and only if doing so would not disconnect the remaining edges.

10 On the next page are four copies of the same graph. Use these to trace the execution of each algorithm in the model.

11 One of these algorithms is fake. Which one? Give an example showing why it does not work.
ALGORITHMS: MINIMUM (COST) SPANNING TREES
The rest of the activity we will focus on Kruskal’s Algorithm and proving its correctness.

**Model 3: Kruskal’s Algorithm (10 mins)**

![Graph Diagram]

**Require:** Undirected, weighted graph $G = (V, E)$

1. $T \leftarrow \emptyset$ \hspace{2cm} $\triangleright$ $T$ holds the set of edges in the MST
2. Sort $E$ from smallest to biggest weight
3. for each edge $e \in E$ do
4. if $e$ does not make a cycle with other edges in $T$ then
5. Add $e$ to $T$

12. Simulate Kruskal’s Algorithm on the graph in Model 3. What is the total weight of the resulting spanning tree?

13. The way the algorithm is written in Model 3, one must iterate through every single edge in $E$. However, this is not always necessary. Can you think of a simple way to tell when we can stop the loop early?

14. Explain why, even in the worst case, $\Theta(\log_2 |V|) = \Theta(\log_2 |E|)$ in any graph.
15 In the above algorithm, how long does line 2 take? Simplify your answer using the observation from the previous question.

16 Can you think of a way to implement line 4? How long would it take?
Model 4: The Cut Property (15 mins)

Definition 3. A cut in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a partition of the vertices $V$ into two sets $S$ and $T$, that is, every vertex is in either $S$ or $T$ but not both. We say that an edge $e$ crosses the cut $(S, T)$ if one vertex of $e$ is in $S$ and the other is in $T$.

Theorem 4 (Cut Property). Given a weighted, undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, let $S$ and $T$ be any partition of $V$, and suppose $e$ is some edge crossing the $(S, T)$ cut, such that the weight of $e$ is strictly smaller than the weight of any other edge crossing the $(S, T)$ cut. Then every minimum spanning tree of $G$ must include $e$.

Give three examples of cuts in the graph from Model 4 and identify the smallest edge crossing each cut.
Proving the cut property. Let’s now prove the cut property.

Proof. Let $G$ be a weighted, undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, let $S$ and $T$ be an arbitrary partition of $V$ into two sets, and suppose $e = (x, y)$ is the smallest-weight edge with one endpoint in $S$ and one in $T$. We wish to show that ____________________________.

We will prove the contrapositive. Suppose $M$ is a spanning tree of $G$ which does not contain the edge $e$. Since $M$ is a ______ it contains a unique ____________________________ between any two _________.

So consider the unique _________ in $M$ between ____________. It must cross the cut at least once since _________________; suppose it crosses at $e' = (x', y')$, with $x' \in X$ and $y' \in Y$. We know that the weight of $e$ is smaller than the weight of $e'$, since ____________________________.

Now take $M$ and replace _________ with _________; the result is still __________________________ because __________________________, but it has a smaller total ______________ because ______________.

So, we have shown that any spanning tree $M$ which does not contain the edge $e$ can be made into a __________________________, which means that $M$ is not a ____________.

\[\square\]
Proving correctness. The cut property can be used to directly show the correctness of several MST algorithms. Let’s prove the correctness of Kruskal’s Algorithm; the proofs for the other algorithms are similar.

**Theorem 5.** Kruskal’s Algorithm is correct.

**Proof.** Suppose at some step the algorithm picks the edge \( e = (x, y) \). Let \( X \) be the set of vertices connected to \( x \) by edges which have been picked so far (not including \( e \)), and let \( Y \) be all other vertices. \( x \in X \) by definition. We know that \( y \notin X \) since if it was, \( e \) would make a _______ but then Kruskal’s Algorithm wouldn’t _______. \( e \) therefore crosses the cut \( (X, Y) \). No other edges which have been picked previously cross the cut, since _________________________.

Therefore \( e \) must be the smallest _________________________.

because _________________________.

Therefore by the Cut Property \( e \) must be in any MST and Kruskal’s Algorithm is correct to pick it.  

\( \square \)