## Graphs and Traversals

## Reminders/ Check in

- Assignment 01 due tonight at 10 pm
- Assignment 02 will be released later today
- If you haven't done so already, check out Problem Set Advice
- Take advantage of office hours today:
- Mine: 1.30-3 pm, TAs: 3-5pm, 7-10 pm
- Questions?
- Announcements?


## Today's Outline

- Formal definitions of graph terms
- Review common approaches for graph representation
- Review breadth-first search
- Review depth-first search
- Search Proofs (runtime, correctness)


## Review: Undirected Graphs

An undirected graph $G=(V, E)$

- $V$ is the set of nodes, $E$ is the set of edges
- Graph size parameters: $n=|V|, m=|E|$
- Sometimes we consider weighted graphs, where each edge $e$ has a weight $w(e)$



## Representing Graphs (Review)

Option 1a: Adjacency matrix.

- $n$-by- $n$ matrix where $A[u][v]=1$ if $(u, v) \in E$


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

$$
n=|V|, m=|E|
$$

## Representing Graphs (Review)

Option 1a: Adjacency matrix.

- $n$-by- $n$ matrix where $A[u][v]=1$ if $(u, v) \in E$
- Space $\underline{O\left(n^{2}\right)}$ ?
- Checking if $(u, v) \in E$ takes $\underline{O(1)}$ time?


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

$n=|V|, m=|E|$

## Representing Graphs (Review)

Option 1b: Adjacency list.

- Array of lists, where each list stores the neighbors of a given node



## Representing Graphs (Review)

Option 1b: Adjacency list.

- Array of lists, where each list stores the neighbors of a given node
- Space $\underline{O(n+m)}$ ?
- Checking if $(u, v) \in E$ takes $\underline{O}($ degree $(u))$ time?



## Graph Terminology (Review)

- A path in an undirected graph $G=(V, E)$ is a sequence of nodes $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}$ such that every pair $\left(u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right) \in E$.
- A path is simple if all nodes are distinct.
- The length of a path is the number of edges on the path
- An undirected graph is connected if for every pair of nodes $u$ and $v$, there is a path between $u$ and $v$ (every node is reachable from all other nodes)
- A connected component is the set of all vertices/edges reachable from some vertex $v$
- A connected graph has 1 connected component.
- A cycle is path $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}$ where $u_{1}=u_{k}(k \geq 2)$
- A cycle is simple if all internal nodes are distinct


## Trees (Review)

An undirected graph is a tree if it is connected and acyclic (i.e, it does not contain a cycle)

Lemma. Let $G$ be an undirected graph with $n$ nodes. Then any two of these conditions imply the third

- $G$ is connected
- G does not contain a cycle
- G has $n-1$ edges



## Graph Traversals

A few common questions we ask about a graph $G=(V, E)$ :

- Connectivity. How do we verify if a graph is connected?
- Reachability. Given $s, t \in V$, is there a path between them?

Answers can be determined by "traversing the graph"

- Two classic graph traversal algorithms:

Start at some node and

- Breadth-first search (BFS)
- Depth-first search (DFS)

Start at some node and keep going until you hit a dead end

- BFS \& DFS are remarkably similar algorithms that merely differ in the data structure used


## Breadth-first Search

Explore outwards in all possible directions from starting point, peeling "one layer after another"

- BFS algorithm: Initialize $L_{0}=\{v\}$
- $L_{1}=$ all neighbors of $L_{0}$
- $L_{2}=$ all nodes that do not belong to $L_{0}$ or $L_{1}$ that are adjacent to a node in $L_{1}$
- $L_{i+1}=$ all nodes that do not belong an earlier layer that are adjacent to a node in $L_{i}$



## BFS Implementation

We need data structures to represent:

- Nodes that we have not encountered yet
- Nodes that we have encountered but not yet "explored"
- Nodes that have been "fully explored" (encountered all its neighbors as well)



## BFS Implementation

Suppose we are currently exploring node $u$

- Its neighbors will be marked "encountered", but when will they be explored compared to other encountered but unexplored nodes?
- BFS Idea: Explore all nodes at level $i$ (same distance from initial node) before moving on to level $i+1$
- Rule: first encountered node should be first node to be explored
- Which data structure should we use?
- Queue! First-in-first-out



## BFS Implementation: Queue

 BFS (G, s):Set status of all nodes to unmarked Place s into the queue Q
While Q is not empty
Extract v from Q
If $v$ is unmarked
Mark v
For each edge (v, w):
Put w into the queue Q

## Observations:

- Nodes that we have not encountered have never been added to $Q$
- When a node $u$ is marked (after extraction from Q), all $u$ 's neighbors are then enqueued, so the next time we see $u$ we can ignore it -its already been explored!
- We may enqueue some nodes multiple times, but we only explore them once (if a marked node is extracted, it is skipped)


## BFS Example



## Tracing the Traversal: BFS Tree

- We can remember parent nodes (the node at level $i$ that lead us to a given node at level $i+1$ )
- Keeping track of these relationships produces a tree rooted at $s$

BFS-Tree(G, s):
Put ( $\varnothing, s$ ) in the queue $Q$
While Q is not empty
Extract ( $p, v$ ) from $Q$
If $v$ is unmarked
Mark v
parent(v) $=p$
For each edge (v, w):
Put ( $v$, w) into the queue $Q$

## BFS Analysis

- Inserting and extracting an edge from a queue: $\underline{O(1)}$ time
- For each marked node $v$, we run the for loop for its edges: $\underline{O(n)}$ times
- Overall running time? $\underline{O\left(n^{2}\right)}$
- Can we do better?
- Yes! We can improve our analysis to $O(n+m)$
- Node $u$ has degree $(u)$ incident edges $(u, v)$
- Total time processing edges: $\sum_{u \in V}$ degree $(\mathrm{u})=2 m$


## Depth-First Search

## Stack Instead of Queue

If we change how we store the visited vertices (the data structure we use), it changes how we traverse the graph

```
BFS (G, s):
    Set status of all nodes to unmarked
    Place s into the queue Q
    While Q is not empty
    Extract v from Q
    For each edge (v, w):
        If w is unmarked
            Mark w
            Put w into the queue Q
```


## Stack Instead of Queue

If we change how we store the visited vertices (the data structure we use), it changes how we traverse the graph

## DFS (G, s):

Set status of all nodes to unmarked
Place s into the stack $S$
While $S$ is not empty
Extract $v$ from $S$
For each edge (v, w):
If $w$ is unmarked
Mark w
Put w into the stack S

## Depth-First Search: Recursive

DFS is perhaps the more natural traversal algorithm to write.

- Can be written iteratively or recursively
- Both DFS versions are the same; can actually see the "recursion stack" in the iterative version

```
Recursive-DFS(u):
    Set status of u to marked # encountered u
    for each edge (u, v):
        if v's status is unmarked:
        DFS(v)
    # done exploring neighbors of u
```


## Example Graph



## DFS Running Time

We can apply the same analysis as we did for BFS.

- Inserts and extracts to a stack: $O(1)$ time
- Setting status of each node to unmarked: $O(n)$
- Each node is set marked at most once; equivalently $\operatorname{DFS}(u)$ is called at most once for each node
- For every node $v$, explore degree(v) edges

$$
\sum_{v} \operatorname{degree}(v)=2 m
$$

- Overall, running time $O(n+m)$


## Depth-First Search Tree

DFS returns a spanning tree, similar to BFS

```
DFS-Tree(G, s):
    Put ( \(\varnothing, 5\) ) in the stack \(S\)
    While \(S\) is not empty
    Extract ( \(p, \mathrm{v}\) ) from \(S\)
        If \(v\) is unmarked
        Mark v
        parent(v) \(=p\)
        For each edge (v, w):
        Put (v, w) into the stack S
```

The spanning tree formed by parent edges in a DFS are usually long and skinny

## Proving Correctness

## DFS Correctness

- DFS finds precisely the set of nodes reachable from start node $s$
- That is, $\operatorname{DFS}(s)$ marks node $x$ iff node $x$ is reachable from $s$
- Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$
- Since $x$ is marked, $(x, \operatorname{parent}(x)))$ is an edge in the graph
- Claim. $x \rightarrow \operatorname{parent}(x) \rightarrow \operatorname{parent}(\operatorname{parent}(x)) \rightarrow \cdots$ leads to $s$
- Induction on the order in which vertices are marked
- Suppose claim holds for all vertices before some vertex $u$
- Consider $u$ : parent $(u)$ must be discovered before $u$, and thus the claim holds for it, since ( $u$, parent $(u)$ ) is an edge, we have a path from $u$ to $s$


## DFS Correctness

- DFS finds precisely the set of nodes reachable from start node $s$
- That is, $\operatorname{DFS}(s)$ marks node $x$ iff node $x$ is reachable from $s$
- Proof. $(\Leftarrow)$
- Suppose node $x$ is reachable from $s$ via path $P$, but $x$ is not marked by DFS
- Since $s$ is marked by DFS and $x$ is not, there must be a first node $v$ on $P$ that is not marked by DFS
- Thus, there is an edge $(u, v) \in P$ such that $u$ is marked and $v$ is not marked
- But this cannot happen, since when $u$ is marked, all its neighbors are also marked $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ ■


## BFS Correctness

- Breadth first search finds precisely the set of nodes reachable from $s$
- That is, $\operatorname{BFS}(s)$ marks node $x$ iff node $x$ is reachable from $s$
- Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$
- Since $x$ is marked, $(x, \operatorname{parent}(x)))$ is an edge in the graph
- Claim. $x \rightarrow \operatorname{parent}(x) \rightarrow \operatorname{parent}(\operatorname{parent}(x)) \rightarrow \cdots$ leads to $s$
- Induction on the order in which vertices are marked
- Let $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}, \ldots, u_{n}$ denote the order in which vertices are marked, suppose claim holds all vertices with index less than $k$
- Consider $u_{k}$ : parent $\left(u_{k}\right)$ must be discovered before $u_{k}$, and thus the claim holds for it, since $\left(u_{k}\right.$, parent $\left.\left(u_{k}\right)\right)$ is an edge, we have a path from $u_{k}$ to $s$


## BFS Correctness

- Breadth first search finds precisely the set of nodes reachable from $s$
- That is, $\operatorname{BFS}(s)$ marks node $x$ iff node $x$ is reachable from $s$
- Proof. $(\Leftarrow)$
- Suppose node $x$ is reachable from $s$ via path $P$, but $x$ is not marked by BFS
- Since $s$ is marked by BFS and $x$ is not, there must be a first node $v \neq s$ on $P$ that is not marked by BFS
- Thus, there is an edge $(u, v) \in P$ such that $u$ is marked and $v$ is not marked
- But this cannot happen, since when $u$ is marked, all its neighbors are also marked $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow \square$

