We will revisit several themes from the course — graphs, induction, greedy algorithms, matchings — by focusing on a classic problem in computer science: the \textit{traveling salesperson problem} (TSP). TSP has no known polynomial time solution. At present, there is an $O(n^2 2^n)$ dynamic programming algorithm for TSP which improved the brute-force $n!$ solution that considers all permutations of the cities. At present, this dynamic programming procedure is the most efficient algorithm for solving TSP \textit{exactly}. However, there are several well-known polynomial-time algorithms for solving TSP \textit{approximately}. That is, finding a solution that is not necessarily optimal, but provably within some constant, say $\alpha$, of optimal. Here we will develop a $3/2$-approximation due to Christofedes. Given any instance of TSP where the distances obey the triangle inequality, Christofedes’ algorithm returns a solution with cost at most $3/2 \cdot \text{OPT}$ where $\text{OPT}$ is the cost of an optimal, minimum cost cycle.

\textbf{Question 1.} Given a complete, undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with non-negative and real-valued edges costs $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the goal of TSP is to find a minimum cost cycle that visits every vertex in $V$ exactly once. The cost of the cycle is the sum of the costs of the edges in the cycle. Metric TSP refers to a class of TSP instances where the edge costs obey the triangle inequality. This means that shortcuts between two cities don’t exist; it’s always best to take the direct route. Formally the triangle inequality means that
\[ c(u, v) \leq c(u, w) + c(w, v) \quad \text{for all } u, v, w \in V.\]

We will develop a polynomial-time $3/2$-approximation for Metric TSP. In all parts, $G = (V, E)$ is the complete, undirected input graph. $V$ is the set of nodes and $E$ is the set of edges where $|V| = n$ and $|E| = m$. The function $c$ gives the real-valued edge costs that obey the triangle inequality. Sometimes we extend $c$ to a set of edges $E' \subseteq E$ so that $c(E') = \sum_{e \in E'} c(e)$. Please answer the following questions clearly and concisely. If you get stuck on a particular part, move on — you can assume previous results and still make progress.

(a) Let $C^*$ be the cost of the minimum cost cycle in $G$. Let $T$ be a minimum spanning tree in $G$ with cost $c(T)$. Show that $c(T) \leq C^*$.

(b) Use induction to show that all trees have an even number of odd-degree nodes.

An undirected multigraph $H$ is an undirected graph with parallel edges. That is, pairs of nodes may have multiple edges between them. An Euler tour of a connected, undirected multigraph $H = (V', E')$ is a cycle that traverses each edge of $H$ exactly once, although it may visit a vertex more than once. We denote a cycle as a list of vertices, $u_0 \ldots u_m$, where $u_0 = u_m$ and for all $0 \leq i < m'$, $(u_i, u_{i+1})$ is an edge in $E'$. Note that an Euler tour has length $m' = |E'|$.

(c) Earlier in the semester you showed that a graph has an Euler tour if and only if the degree of every vertex in the graph is even. Quickly argue why your analysis also holds for multi graphs.

(d) Similarly, quickly argue that your linear time algorithm for finding Euler tours in graphs extends without alteration to multigraphs.

A minimum weight perfect matching in a graph $G'$ with $n$ nodes and non-negative edge costs is a matching $M$ of size $n/2$ with minimum cost $c(M)$. Edmonds showed in the 60’s how to find a minimum weight perfect matching of a graph in $O(n^4)$ time. Gabow recently improved this running time to $O(n(m + n \log n))$.

(e) Use parts (a) and (b) along with Gabow’s algorithm as a black box, to produce first, a minimum weight perfect matching $M$, and second, a multigraph $H = (V', E')$ where all the nodes in $V$ have even degree. Note that $V'$ refers to the same set of nodes as the input graph.

(f) Show that $c(M) \leq 1/2 \cdot C^*$. Using part (a), conclude that $c(E') \leq 3/2 \cdot C^*$.

(g) Use parts (c) and (d) along with the multigraph $H$ (and the fact that $c$ obeys the triangle inequality) to produce a cycle $v_0 v_1 \ldots v_m$ that visits every vertex in $V$ exactly once. Conclude that this cycle is a $3/2$-approximation for the metric TSP problem.

\textbf{Question 2.} I found this homework:

\begin{footnote}{1}Actually, four years ago there was a slight improvement which was the first progress in over 20 years\end{footnote}